



*Skyway Concession Company
205 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 2510
Chicago, Illinois 60601
312-552-7100*

TO: Proposal Manager
FROM: Rob Ladson
Infrastructure Manager
DATE: August 22, 2019
SUBJECT: **Addendum #1: Q&A**
RFP - SCC-2019-05 Design Services-rev 8-12-19

Addendum #1 is issued prior to the execution of the contract and is intended to modify and/or interpret the request for proposal documents by addition, revision, deletion, clarification, and/or corrections. Consultants shall acknowledge in the proposal receipt of this and all addendums.

Questions have been asked by several interested consultants. The following Q&A's have been summarized below and are considered to be an addendum to the RFP.

1. In paragraph 1 of the Overview section in the RFP, it refers to this project as “construction inspection services”... isn’t this solely a design project or are construction inspection services to be included as well?

The term “construction inspection services” in the referenced paragraph in the RFP is incorrect. The SCC is seeking only “design services” proposals at this time.

2. In past RFP’s, there is typically a maximum page limit for the proposal. In the current RFP, there doesn’t appear to be a page limit for the proposal.

For this RFP, the maximum page limit restriction has been removed. However, the proposal should be prepared in the comprehensive manner as to relevant content, there is no need for expensive binders, color displays, or other promotional materials that are not germane to the proposal. Attention should be focused on the desired information requested in Section 4.0 of the RFP.

3. Should the proposal follow IDOT or CDOT requirements?

The Skyway Concession Company is a private entity and establishes its own internal policies regarding consultant selection. Proposals are to be based solely on the RFP and addendums – not upon any IDOT, CDOT or any other governmental entity selection process. (Please note that the entire project – including design services, construction cost and construction inspections – is privately funded.)

As such, consultants interested in preparing a proposal are welcome to contact the Infrastructure Manager with any questions per Section 1.7 of the RFP.

4. Are as-built drawings available for each structure listed in the RFP?

Available information will be placed in a dropbox and sent to each Consultant that request the information. (They will not be uploaded to the website as originally stated in Section 2.14 of the RFP.) The information provided in the existing as-built drawings is considered to be a reasonable reference, but is not guaranteed. It is incumbent upon the Consultants to verify the provided information and prepare its work accordingly.

5. Are as-built lighting/electrical/utility drawings available for the lighting portion of the RFP?

Available information will be placed in a dropbox and sent to Consultants that request the information. (They will not be uploaded to the website as originally stated in Section 2.14 of the RFP.) The information provided in the existing as-built drawings is considered to be a reasonable reference, but is not guaranteed. It is incumbent upon the Consultants to verify the provided information and prepare its work accordingly.

6. Are any CADD files available for assistance in the preparation of the contract documents?

CADD files are not available.

7. Please provide the inspection reports that targeted these RFP repairs, if available?

At this point, the SCC has provided the most relevant information in the RFP and doesn't believe the inspection reports would provide any additional insight above what's already been provided in the RFP.

8. For the repairs to structures 6416 (see Str. 6416 – Photo 1) ...



Str. 6416 – photo 1: Corrosion holes in bottom face of member U16-U15WB near U16WB

- **Regarding the corrosion holes in truss members, are these fully enclosed box members?**

It is not a fully enclosed box member with a huge corrosion hole. Photo 1 actually shows a standard truss chord access hole with corrosion around its perimeter. See photo 5.6-22 and photo 5.6-23 for a better perspective.



Photo 5.6-22: 016-6416 view of superstructure from below.



Photo 5.6-23: SN 016-6416 (Span 5-15 shown)

- **Is it allowable to weld to these members?**

The SCC is seeking a designer to provide the best design solution based upon current CDOT, IDOT, and federal standards and specifications, etc.

- **Has the skyway replaced portions of these member types under live traffic previously?**

Unknown in the past, but not in recent years.